



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,620	07/30/2003	David A. Vogel	BDV009USU	9046

7590 02/17/2005

Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.  
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perl, L.L.P.  
10th Floor  
One Landmark Square  
Stamford, CT 06901-2682

EXAMINER
----------

NGUYEN, HUNG T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2636

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/630,620	VOGEL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Hung T. Nguyen	2636	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Non Final Rejection

 (1) Hung T. Nguyen.

 (3) John Paul Cass.

 (2) Charles Ruggiero.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 February 2005
**Time:** 2 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:


The Combination of references of Lilienthal (U.S. 5,072,362) &amp; Reppas et al. (U.S. 5,598,164).

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Applicant will submit argument to point out that the combination of Lilienthal &amp; Reppas do not overcome the present invention claims.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)